

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**PESCHKE MAP TECHNOLOGIES LLC**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**NORTHPARK PARTNERS, LP**

**Defendant.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO.**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

This is an action for patent infringement in which Plaintiff, Peschke Map Technologies LLC (“PMT” or “Plaintiff”), makes the following allegations against Defendant, Northpark Partners, LP (“NPLP” or “Defendant”):

**BACKGROUND**

1. George Peschke is a computer scientist and the inventor of United States Patent No. 6,397,143 (the “Peschke Patent”) entitled “Layout Based Method for Map Navigation.” In the late 1990s, Mr. Peschke invented a computerized layout mapping technology that provided, among other things, a depiction of the layout and location of stores and businesses, as well as information about the stores/businesses on linked pages. Mr. Peschke filed a patent application on October 26, 2000 and continued developing this technology into a working prototype system. Mr. Peschke started a company named Bizinco.com, with a website at [www.bizinco.com](http://www.bizinco.com) by May 15, 2001 to showcase his technology. See, e.g., <http://web.archive.org/web/20010515193104/http://www.bizinco.com/>. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issued the Peschke Patent on May 28, 2002. Since Mr. Peschke’s invention, many companies have implemented his novel and useful technology.

2. PMT is the exclusive licensee of the Peschke Patent. A true and correct copy of the Peschke Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

### **PARTIES**

3. PMT is a Delaware limited liability company.

4. On information and belief, Defendant NPLP is a limited partnership company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 8080 North Central Expressway, Ste. 1100, Dallas, TX 75206. NPLP may be served via its registered agent for service of process: Northpark Gp, Inc., 8080 North Central Expressway, Ste. 1100, Dallas, TX 75206.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because, among other reasons, Defendant has done business in this District, has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this District, and has harmed and continues to harm PMT in this District, by, among other things, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing infringing products and/or services in this District.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District and has committed and continues to commit acts of patent infringement in this District. For example, on information and belief, Defendant has used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported infringing products and/or services in this District.

**COUNT I**  
**INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,397,143**

8. Defendant has been and is now directly infringing the Peschke Patent, in this judicial District and elsewhere in the United States, by, among other things, making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling store layout mapping products and services, including through its website, which includes a map navigation and display system which emphasizes the use of physical layout to assist users in locating stores and businesses in a particular area or location. The infringing products and services provide at least one first level map depicting multiple store layouts; description pages corresponding to each store layout which contain information related to the corresponding store, *e.g.*, business name, phone number, store hours, *etc.*; and links which, when activated, display the stores' corresponding description pages. Defendant's infringing products and services include, without limitation, its webpage <http://www.northparkcenter.com/stores> and related webpages. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '143 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the court, and Plaintiff will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

9. By engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant has injured PMT and is thus liable for infringement of the Peschke Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

10. Defendant has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization.

11. To the extent that facts learned in discovery show that Defendant's infringement of the Peschke Patent is or has been willful, PMT reserves the right to request such a finding at the time of trial.

12. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the Peschke Patent, PMT has suffered monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court. PMT will continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.

13. PMT has also suffered and will continue to suffer severe and irreparable harm unless this Court issues a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant, its agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringing the Peschke Patent.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

1. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed the '143 Patent;
2. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in active concert therewith from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of the '143 Patent, or such other equitable relief the Court determines is warranted;
3. A judgment and order requiring Defendant pay to Plaintiff its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '143

Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and an accounting of ongoing post-judgment infringement; and

4. Any and all other relief, at law or equity, to which Plaintiff may show itself to be entitled.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PMT, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

DATED January 2, 2015.

Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ Hao Ni

Hao Ni

Texas Bar No. 24047205

hni@nilawfirm.com

Timothy T. Wang

Texas Bar No. 24067927

twang@nilawfirm.com

Neal G. Massand

Texas Bar No. 24039038

nmassand@nilawfirm.com

Stevenson Moore V

Texas Bar No. 24076573

smoore@nilawfirm.com

**Ni, Wang & Massand, PLLC**

8140 Walnut Hill Ln., Ste. 310

Dallas, TX 75231

Tel: (972) 331-4600

Fax: (972) 314-0900

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**