

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No. _____
)	
v.)	
)	
LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, HAWK TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, LLC (“Hawk”), hereby sues LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO (“Loyola”) and alleges:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a civil action for patent infringement of United States Patent No. RE43,462 (‘462 Patent). The ‘462 Patent is a reissue of United States Patent No. 5,265, 410 (the ‘410 Patent). The independent claims in the reissued ‘462 Patent are substantially identical to the corresponding claims in the original ‘410 Patent.

PARTIES

2. Hawk is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida and maintains its principal place of business at 2 South Biscayne Blvd., Suite 3800, Miami, Florida 33131.

3. Loyola is a not-for-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois, with its principal place of business located at 1032 W. Sheridan Road, Chicago, Illinois 60660.

4. Loyola's registered agent is Pamela G. Costas located at 820 Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60611.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), this Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action because this is an action arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et. seq.*

6. This court has personal jurisdiction over Loyola because Loyola is (a) transacting business in the State of Illinois; (b) committing tortious acts within the State of Illinois; and (c) engaging in substantial and not isolated activity within the State of Illinois.

7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b), venue is proper in this district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

8. Hawk Technology Systems, LLC was formed in 2012 to commercialize the inventions of its founder, Barry Schwab.

9. Mr. Ken Washino and Mr. Schwab invented what is claimed by the '462 Patent.

10. Mr. Washino and Mr. Schwab have collaborated on a number of other pioneering inventions resulting in patents in the areas of video archiving, video downloading and digital cinema.

11. Mr. Schwab is also a named inventor on more than thirty patents, ranging from consumer products to secure network computing.

Claim 1 Of The '462 Patent

Claim 1 of the '462 patent states:

12.

A video storage and display system, comprising:
one or more video cameras, each outputting a signal representative of a video image;
means to receive the signals from each camera and digitally compress the images;
two forms of high-capacity storage media, one being randomly searchable while the other continues to store the digitally compressed image; and

a computer configured to receive the digitally compressed images, the computer being interfaced to the following devices:
a display screen,
means to receive externally derived operator commands, and
the high-capacity storage media, and
wherein the computer is programmed to perform the following functions:
display the digitally compressed images from the cameras in different windows on the display screen, each window being associated with an update rate and dimensions in pixels,
vary the spatial parameters and temporal parameters at which a particular image is updated in its window in accordance with one of the externally derived commands,
store the digitally compressed images in the high-capacity storage media, and
vary the spatial parameters and temporal parameters at which a particular image is stored in accordance with one of the externally derived commands.

('462 Patent, Col. 10, line 57 – Col. 11, line 20).

Claim 12 Of The '462 Patent

Claim 12 of the '462 patent states:

13.

The method of simultaneously displaying and storing multiple video images, comprising the steps of:

receiving video images at a personal computer based system from one or more sources; digitizing any of the images not already in digital form using an analog-to-digital converter;

displaying at least certain of the digitized images in separate windows on a personal computer based display device, using a first set of temporal and spatial parameters associated with each image in each window;

converting one or more of the video source images into a data storage format using a second set of temporal and spatial parameters associated with each image; and simultaneously storing the converted images in a storage device.

('462 Patent, Col. 11, line 62 – Col. 12, line 10).

Claim 15 Of The '462 Patent

Claim 15 of the '462 patent states:

14.

A video storage and display system, comprising:

one or more video cameras, each outputting a signal representative of a video image; means to receive the signals from each camera and digitally compress the images; and a computer configured to receive the digitally compressed images, the computer being interfaced to the following devices:

a display screen,

means to receive externally derived operator commands including means for sensing a deviation from the normal-state image scene associated with at least one of the

video cameras, the existence of the deviation being used as the basis for generating an externally derived command, and a high-capacity storage medium, and programmed to perform the following functions:
display the digitally compressed images from the cameras in different windows on the display screen, each window being associated with an update rate and dimensions in pixels,
vary spatial parameters and temporal parameters at which a particular image is updated in its window in accordance with one of the externally derived commands,
store the digitally compressed images in the high-capacity storage medium, and
vary the spatial parameters and temporal parameters at which a particular image is stored in accordance with one of the externally derived commands.

(‘462 Patent, Col. 12, line 15 – 45).

15. Loyola uses a video storage and display system and methods that infringe the ‘462 Patent.

16. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have occurred or been waived.

17. Hawk has retained counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay its counsel a reasonable fee for its services.

18. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, Hawk is entitled to recover its attorneys’ fees.

COUNT I: DIRECT INFRINGEMENT OF THE ‘462 PATENT

19. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-18 above are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

20. Without Hawk’s authorization, Loyola uses a video storage and display system and/or methods that infringe one or more of the claims in the ‘462 Patent.

21. Hawk has been damaged by Loyola’s infringement.

WHEREFORE, Hawk Technology Systems, LLC respectfully requests the Court:

A. Enter a judgment finding that Loyola University of Chicago has directly infringed the ‘462 Patent.

B. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, order Loyola University of Chicago to pay damages adequate to compensate for the infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention, together with interest and costs;

C. Find this to be an exceptional case of patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred by Hawk Technology Systems, LLC in prosecuting this action; and

D. Award such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: February 12, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

SCHULZ LAW, P.C.

By: /s/ Mary K. Schulz
Mary K. Schulz, Esq.
1144 E. State Street, Suite A260
Geneva, IL 60134
Tel: (224) 535-9510
Fax: (224) 535-9501
Email: schulzlaw@me.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff