1	HARVEY SISKIND LLP				
	D. PETER HARVEY (SBN 55712)				
2	THOMAS A. HARVEY (SBN 235342)				
3	Four Embarcadero Center, 39 th Floor				
	San Francisco, California 94111				
4					
5	Facsimile: (415) 391-7124 pharvey@harveysiskind.com				
	tharvey@harveysiskind.com				
6					
7	STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC				
	DAVID K.S. CORNWELL (pro hac vice pen	O ,			
8	GRAHAM C. PHERO (<i>pro hac vice</i> pending) 1100 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 800				
9					
	Telephone: (202) 371-2600				
10	Facsimile: (202) 371-2540				
11	DavidC@skgf.com GPhero@skgf.com				
12	OF herowskgr.com				
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
13	LUMINUS DEVICES, INC.				
14					
	IN THE UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	FOR THE NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16					
17					
1	LUMINUS DEVICES, INC.,				
18	a Delaware corporation,	Case No.			
19		COMPLAINT FOR			
1)	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
20	v.				
21	LED Engin Inc				
22	LED Engin, Inc., a Delaware corporation,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
22	_	DEMAND FOR JURI TRIAL			
23	Defendant.				
24					
25					
26					
27					

1	Plaintiff Luminus Devices, Inc. ("Luminus"), for its Complaint against Defendant LED Engin,		
2	Inc., ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:		
3	THE PARTIES		
4	1. Luminus is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Billerica,		
5	Massachusetts.		
6	2. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a Delaware Corporation with its principal		
7	place of business in San Jose, California.		
8	NATURE OF THE ACTION		
9	3. This is an action for patent infringement.		
10	4. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant has been and is		
11	infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and/or actively inducing others to infringe claims of U.S.		
12	Patent No. 7,170,100 ("the '100 Patent).		
13	JURISDICTION		
14	5. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §1, et seq.,		
15	including 35 U.S.C. § 271. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and		
16	1338(a).		
17	VENUE		
18	6. Venue is proper in this court under 28 U.S.C §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d) and/or		
19	1400(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Luminus' claims occurred in the Northern		
20	District of California and because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of		
21	California.		
22	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT		
23	7. This is an intellectual property action and therefore shall be assigned on a district-wide		
24	basis per Civil L.R. 3-2(c).		
25	FACTS		
26	8. Luminus develops and manufactures high performance light emitting diode ("LED")		
27	lighting devices which are used in lighting fixtures, theatrical lighting, projectors, signs, medical		
28	equipment, UV curing systems, and other lighting applications.		

 $1 \parallel$

 9. Luminus has invested in research and development of LED technology and Luminus relies on the United States patent system to protect the LED technology resulting from its research and development. Luminus' success depends on research and development of LED technology and the protection of the intellectual property resulting from such research and development.

A. The Asserted Patent

- 10. Luminus is the owner by assignment of the '100 Patent for "PACKAGING DESIGNS FOR LEDS," issued on January 30, 2007 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the '100 patent in annexed hereto as Exhibit A.
- 11. The inventors of the '100 patent, Alexi A. Erchak, Paul Panaccione, Robert F. Karlicek, Jr., Michael Lim, Elefterios Lidorlkis, Jo A. Penezia, and Christian Hoepfner, assigned all right title and interest in the '100 Patent to Luminus, which assignment was recorded in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 21, 2005, at Reel 016923, Frame 0553.
 - 12. The '100 Patent is directed to light emitting diode devices.

B. Defendant's Acts of Infringement

- 13. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant has made, used, sold, imported, and/or offered for sale, and/or continued to make, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale, LED emitters in the United States.
- 14. The aforementioned Defendant products are hereinafter referred collectively as the "Accused Products." The Accused Products include at least, but are not limited to, LZ4-04MDCA, LZ4-00MC10, LZ4-04MDC9-0000, and LZ9-K0CW00-0065 LED emitters.
- 15. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that every feature of at least one claim of the '100 patent is found in each of the Accused Products.
- 16. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LZ4-04MDCA includes every feature of at least claim 76 of the '100 Patent, including but not limited to distances between adjacent edges of the LEDs comprising the array of less than 200 microns.
- 17. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LZ4-00MC10 includes every feature of at least claim 19 of the '100 Patent, including but not limited to an array of light emitting devices being positioned such that a ratio of a sum of a total area of all of the light emitting

devices in the array of light emitting devices to the area defined by the outer perimeter is at least about 0.75 and a layer that comprises at least one optical component.

- 18. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LZ4-04MDC9-0000 includes every feature of at least claim 1 of the '100 Patent, including but not limited to an array of light emitting devices being positioned such that a ratio of a sum of a total area of all of the light emitting devices in the array of light emitting devices to the area defined by the outer perimeter is at least about 0.75 and a layer disposed such that the distance between a surface of the array of light emitting devices and a surface of the layer nearest to the surface of the array of light emitting devices is approximately 375 microns.
- 19. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LZ4-04MDC9-0000 includes every feature of at least claim 76 of the '100 Patent, including but not limited to distances between adjacent edges of the LEDs comprising the array of less than 200 microns.
- 20. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LZ9-K0CW00-0065 includes every feature of at least claim 1 of the '100 Patent, including but not limited to an array of light emitting devices being positioned such that a ratio of a sum of a total area of all of the light emitting devices in the array of light emitting devices to the area defined by the outer perimeter is at least about 0.75 and a layer disposed such that the distance between a surface of the array of light emitting devices and a surface of the layer nearest to the surface of the array of light emitting devices is approximately 356 microns.
- 21. Luminus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LZ9-K0CW00 includes every feature of at least claim 19 of the '100 Patent, including but not limited to an array of light emitting devices being positioned such that a ratio of a sum of a total area of all of the light emitting devices in the array of light emitting devices to the area defined by the outer perimeter is at least about 0.75 and a layer that comprises at least one optical component.
- 22. Defendant's making, use, sale, offers for sale, and/or importation of the Accused Products in the United States constitutes direct infringement of the '100 Patent.

1 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,170,100 2 Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq. 3 23. Luminus realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 4 5 22. 6 24. Defendant has directly infringed the '100 patent by making, using, importing, selling or 7 offering for sale the Accused Products in the United States. 8 25. The willful and intentional nature of Defendant's patent infringement makes this an 9 exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285. 10 26. As a result of Defendant's patent infringement, Luminus has suffered damages in an 11 amount to be determined at trial. 27. As a result of Defendant's patent infringement, Luminus has also suffered irreparable 12 13 injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill. Luminus will continue to suffer irreparable injury unless Defendant's misconduct is enjoined by the Court. 14 15 16 PRAYER FOR RELIEF Wherefore, Luminus asks this Court to enter judgment in its favor against Defendant and grant 17 the following relief: 18 19 1. a judgment that Defendant has infringed and continues to infringe the '100 Patent 20 as alleged above; 21 2. a judgment accounting for all damages sustained by Luminus as a result of Defendant's acts of infringement of the '100 Patent; 22 3. 23 a judgment enjoining Defendant, and all of their officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives, from making, using, offering to sell, or selling any products that 24 infringe the '100 Patent including at least, LZ4-04MDCA, LZ4-00MC10, LZ4-04MDC9-0000, 25 and LZ9-K0CW00-0065; 26 27 28

1	4.	A judgment ordering I	Defendant to file with this Court and serve upon Luminus
2	within thirty (30) days after entry of the injunction a report in writing under oath setting forth in		
3	detail the manner and form in which Defendant has complied with the injunction;		
4	5.	A judgment awarding I	Luminus actual damages adequate to compensate Luminus
5	for Defenda	nt's acts of patent infrii	ngement, together with prejudgment and post judgment
6	interest;		
7	6.	A judgment awarding I	Luminus enhanced damages, up to an including three times
8	Luminus' damages, plus interest, under 35 U.S.C. §284;		
9	7. A judgment that Luminus recover its attorney's fees in connection with this action		
10	pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285;		
11	8.	8. A judgment that Luminus recover the costs of this action plus interest; and,	
12	9.	9. A judgment that Luminus be granted such other and further relief as the Court	
13	deems just a	nd proper.	
14	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
15	Lumi	nue haraby damande trial k	by jury of all issues so triable.
13	24111	nus nereby demands trial t	by jury of all issues so triable.
16	20111	nus nereby demands triar t	by July of all issues so trable.
		ember 6, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
16		·	
16 17		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY
16 17 18		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY
16 17 18 19		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC DAVID K.S. CORNWELL
16 17 18 19 20		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC
16 17 18 19 20 21		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC DAVID K.S. CORNWELL GRAHAM C. PHERO
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC DAVID K.S. CORNWELL GRAHAM C. PHERO By: /s/ D. Peter Harvey
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC DAVID K.S. CORNWELL GRAHAM C. PHERO
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC DAVID K.S. CORNWELL GRAHAM C. PHERO By:/s/ D. Peter Harvey Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		·	Respectfully submitted, HARVEY SISKIND LLP D. PETER HARVEY THOMAS A. HARVEY STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC DAVID K.S. CORNWELL GRAHAM C. PHERO By:/s/ D. Peter Harvey Attorneys for Plaintiff