

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

SMARTPHONE TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:13-cv-807
	§	
ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC.	§	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
and ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	
	§	

**PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff SmartPhone Technologies LLC (“SmartPhone”) files this Complaint against ASUSTeK Computer Inc. and ASUS Computer International (collectively, “Defendants”) for infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE 40,459 (“the ’459 patent”).

**THE PARTIES**

1. SmartPhone is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business in Frisco, Texas.
2. ASUSTeK Computer Inc. is a Taiwan corporation with its principal place of business in Taipei, Taiwan. On information and belief, this Defendant may be served with process at its principal office located at No. 15, Li-Te Road, Peitou, Taipei F5, Taiwan. This Defendant does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.
3. ASUS Computer International (with ASUSTeK Computer Inc., “ASUS”) is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Fremont, California. This Defendant may be served with process through its agent, C.T. Corporation System, 818 West

Seventh Street, Los Angeles, California 90017. This Defendant does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. SmartPhone brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281 and 284-285, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 1367.

5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business involving their accused products in this judicial district, and/or has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

6. Each Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at last part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to Texas residents.

**COUNT I**

(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 40,459)

7. SmartPhone incorporates paragraphs 1 through 6 herein by reference.

8. This cause of action arises under the patent laws of the United States, and in particular, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq.*

9. SmartPhone is the exclusive licensee of the '459 patent, entitled "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR COMMUNICATING OVER LOW BANDWIDTH COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS," with ownership of all substantial rights in the '459 patent. Among other rights, SmartPhone has the exclusive right to exclude others, the exclusive right to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements, the exclusive right to settle any claims of infringement, and the exclusive right to grant sublicenses, including the exclusive right to exclude ASUS, the exclusive right to sue ASUS, the exclusive right to settle any claims with ASUS, and the exclusive right to grant a sublicense to ASUS. A true and correct copy of the '459 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. The '459 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

11. ASUS is directly and/or indirectly infringing (by inducing infringement) one or more claims of the '459 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas and the United States, including at least claims 1, 17, and 18, without the consent or authorization of SmartPhone, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing computerized communication devices, including, without limitation, Nexus 7 devices, ASUS MeMO Pad devices, and ASUS Transformer Pad devices. ASUS and persons who acquire and use such devices, including ASUS's customers, have, at a minimum, directly infringed the '459 patent, and ASUS is thereby liable for direct and/or indirect infringement of the '459 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

12. ASUS has had knowledge of the '459 patent at least as early as service of this complaint.

13. On information and belief, ASUS has specifically intended for persons who acquire and use such devices, including ASUS's customers, to acquire and use such devices in such a way that infringes the '459 patent, including at least claims 1, 17, and 18, and knew or should have known that its actions were inducing infringement. In particular, ASUS has and continues to provide instructional materials (e.g. user guides) that specifically instruct its customers to use ASUS's devices in an infringing manner. For example, the MeMO Pad User Guide, available at <http://www.asus.com>, specifically instructs customers who use that device to access a search form in an application (e.g. Google Maps), enter a search keyword, and view content, in a manner that performs each and every step of at least claims 17 and 18. In providing such instructional materials, ASUS intentionally encourages and specifically intends that its customers use ASUS devices to directly infringe the '459 patent, with knowledge that such acts constitute patent infringement.

14. SmartPhone has been damaged as a result of ASUS's infringing conduct described in this Count. ASUS is, thus, liable to SmartPhone in an amount that adequately compensates SmartPhone for ASUS's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

SmartPhone hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

SmartPhone requests that the Court find in its favor and against ASUS and that the Court grant SmartPhone the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '459 patent has been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendants and/or by others to whose infringements Defendants have contributed and/or by others whose infringements have been induced by Defendants;
- b. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to SmartPhone all damages to and costs incurred by SmartPhone because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment that Defendants account for and pay to SmartPhone a reasonable ongoing, post judgment royalty because of Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- d. That SmartPhone be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendants' infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That SmartPhone be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: October 23, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Edward R. Nelson, III by permission

Claire Abernathy Henry

Edward R. Nelson, III

LEAD ATTORNEY

enelson@nbclaw.net

Texas State Bar No. 00797142

Christie B. Lindsey

clindsey@nbclaw.net

Texas State Bar No. 24041918

S. Brannon Latimer

blatimer@nbclaw.net

Texas State Bar No. 24060137

Thomas C. Cecil

tcecil@nbclaw.net

Texas State Bar No. 24069489

NELSON BUMGARDNER CASTO, P.C.

1131 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300

Fort Worth, Texas 76107

Phone: (817) 377-9111

Fax: (817) 377-3485

Anthony G. Simon

asimon@simonlawpc.com

Michael P. Kella

mkella@simonlawpc.com

Benjamin R. Askew

baskew@simonlawpc.com

THE SIMON LAW FIRM, P.C.

800 Market Street, Suite 1700

St. Louis, MO 63101

Phone: (314) 241-2929

Fax: (314) 241-2029

T. John Ward, Jr.

jw@wsfirm.com

Texas State Bar No. 00794818

J. Wesley Hill

wh@wsfirm.com

Texas State Bar No. 24032294

Claire Abernathy Henry

claire@wsfirm.com

Texas State Bar No. 24053063

WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM

1127 Judson Road, Suite 220  
Longview, Texas 75601  
Phone: (903) 757-6400  
Fax: (903) 757-2323

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**