

1 J. DAVID HADDEN (CA BAR NO. 176148)
2 dhadden@fenwick.com
3 RYAN J. MARTON (CA BAR NO. 223979)
4 rmarton@fenwick.com
5 PHILLIP J. HAACK (CA BAR NO. 262060)
6 phaack@fenwick.com
7 FENWICK & WEST LLP
8 Silicon Valley Center
9 801 California Street
10 Mountain View, CA 94041
11 Telephone: 650.988.8500
12 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
14 DELPHIX CORP.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 DELPHIX CORP.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 ACTIFIO, INC.,

22 Defendant.

Case No.: _____

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

23 Plaintiff Delphix Corp. (“Delphix”) for its Complaint against defendant Actifio, Inc.
24 (“Actifio”):

25 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 26 1. This is a civil action for patent infringement.

27 **PARTIES**

28 2. Delphix is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of
Delaware, with its principal place of business at 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 50, Menlo Park,
California 94025. Delphix is a leading provider of software platforms for creating virtual storage
and infrastructure for complex database systems.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT

1 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

2 11. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c), divisional assignment is unnecessary because this
3 case is an intellectual property action.

4 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

5 12. Delphix is the current assignee, and the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title
6 and interest in United States Patent No. 8,150,808 (“the ’808 patent”), entitled “Virtual Database
7 System.” The ’808 patent was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark
8 Office (“PTO”) on April 3, 2012. The ’808 patent was published on April 21, 2011 as U.S.
9 Patent Pub. 2011/0093435 containing several claims identical to the issued patent. The named
10 inventors of the ’808 patent are Charles Li Zha and Jedidiah Yueh. A copy of the ’808 patent is
11 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12 13. Delphix is the current assignee, and the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title
13 and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,161,077 (“the ’077 patent”), entitled “Datacenter Workflow
14 Automation Scenarios Using Virtual Databases.” The ’077 patent was duly and legally issued by
15 the PTO on April 17, 2012. The ’077 patent was published on April 21, 2011 as U.S. Patent Pub.
16 2011/0093436 containing several claims identical to the issued patent. The named inventors of
17 the ’077 patent are Charles Li Zha, Jedidiah Yueh and Alok Kumar Srivastava. A copy of the
18 ’077 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

19 14. Delphix is the current assignee, and the sole and exclusive owner of all right, title
20 and interest in U.S. Patent No. 8,548,944 (“the ’944 patent”) (collectively, with the ’808 patent
21 and the ’077 patent, “the patents-in-suit”), entitled “De-Duplication Based Backup of File
22 Systems.” The ’944 patent was duly and legally issued by the PTO on October 1, 2013. The
23 ’944 patent was published on January 19, 2012 as U.S. Patent Pub. 2012/0016839. The named
24 inventor of the ’944 patent is Jedidiah Yueh. A copy of the ’944 patent is attached hereto as
25 Exhibit C.

26 15. The inventions of the ’808 and ’077 patents are directed to technology for creating
27 a virtual database infrastructure and using this infrastructure in various database workflow
28

1 scenarios, such as testing and development, backup and recovery, and building data warehouses.
2 The patented inventions reduce the cost and complexity of these applications.

3 16. The invention of the '944 patent is directed to an efficient method for backing up
4 file systems using time-based snapshots and a "virtual" file system.

5 17. Mr. Ashutosh served as a venture capitalist board member on the Board of
6 Delphix. As a member of Delphix's Board, Mr. Ashutosh received confidential information of
7 Delphix including information from sales meetings, general marketing meetings, and information
8 describing patented technology as it was being developed.

9 18. At a board meeting in January of 2009, Mr. Ashutosh asked for a map of Delphix's
10 intellectual property strategy.

11 19. Shortly before a March 2009 board meeting, Mr. Ashutosh asked Mr. Jedidiah
12 Yueh, CEO of Delphix and co-inventor on the patents-in-suit, about the status of non-disclosure
13 agreements and IP-protection agreements for Delphix advisors and asked that the meeting cover,
14 in detail, tangible milestones in the Delphix engineering organization.

15 20. While serving on the Board of Directors of Delphix, Mr. Ashutosh was trying to
16 secure investments for the project, which would eventually become Actifio. While serving on the
17 Board of Directors of Delphix, Mr. Ashutosh founded Actifio. Mr. Ashutosh is currently the
18 CEO of Actifio and sits on Actifio's Board of Directors.

19 21. In July 2009, Mr. Ashutosh agreed to resign from his position as a Director of
20 Delphix. Mr. Yueh expressed concerns to Mr. Ashutosh that he was abusing his role as a
21 fiduciary to Delphix and funneling confidential information from Delphix to Actifio for the
22 purpose of building Actifio's competing technology.

23 22. In early February 2012, Mr. Yueh discovered that Actifio was marketing products
24 using Delphix technology.

25 23. On February 7, 2012, Mr. Yueh called Mr. Ashutosh to inform him that Actifio
26 was infringing on technologies developed by Delphix, embodied in the patents-in-suit as
27 described by their published applications, and that a suit could potentially be brought against
28 Actifio.

1 indirectly infringe the '808 patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that such
2 activities would infringe the patent.

3 31. As a direct and proximate consequence of Actifio's willful infringement of the
4 '808 patent, Delphix has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages, in an
5 amount not yet determined, for which Delphix is entitled to relief. Delphix seeks damages and
6 treble damages, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
7 infringement.

8 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
9 **(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,161,077)**

10 32. Plaintiff Delphix incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 above as if
11 fully set forth herein.

12 33. Plaintiff Delphix has provided actual notice of the '077 patent by virtue of the
13 conversation between Mr. Yueh and Mr. Ashutosh, Actifio's CEO and a member of Actifio's
14 Board of Directors.

15 34. Defendant Actifio has been, is currently, and will continue to directly infringe one
16 or more claims of the '077 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and selling within the United
17 States the Actifio Storage Products.

18 35. Actifio has been, is currently, and will continue to actively induce and encourage
19 infringement of the '077 patent. Actifio had knowledge of the '077 patent and induced and
20 encouraged the direct infringement of the patent by its customers by providing instruction and
21 direction to use the patented invention. Upon information and belief, Actifio acted with specific
22 intent to induce and encourage its customers' infringement.

23 36. Actifio has been, is currently, and will continue to contribute to the infringement
24 of the '077 patent by selling and/or offering to sell the Actifio Storage Products, which are a
25 material component of the customer systems which directly infringe the '077 patent. The Actifio
26 Storage Products are especially made or adapted for use with customer database installations
27 which infringe the '077 patent, and are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable
28 for substantial noninfringing use. Upon information and belief, Actifio knew that the

1 combination for which the Actifio Storage Products were especially made infringed the '077
2 patent, as evidenced by its continued sales and instructions regarding use of the Actifio Storage
3 Products after it knew of its infringement.

4 37. Actifio's acts of direct and indirect infringement are willful because Actifio knew
5 of the '077 patent and that its use and sale of the Actifio Storage Products would directly and
6 indirectly infringe the '077 patent, and acted despite an objectively high likelihood that such
7 activities would infringe the patent.

8 38. As a direct and proximate consequence of Actifio's willful infringement of the
9 '077 patent, Delphix has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages, in an
10 amount not yet determined, for which Delphix is entitled to relief. Delphix seeks damages and
11 treble damages, as well as preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
12 infringement.

13 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**
(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,548,944)

14 39. Plaintiff Delphix incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 24 above as if
15 fully set forth herein.

16 40. Defendant Actifio has been, is currently, and will continue to directly infringe one
17 or more claims of the '944 patent by making, using, offering to sell, and selling within the United
18 States the Actifio Storage Products.

19 41. As a direct and proximate consequence of Actifio's infringement of the '944
20 patent, Delphix has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages, in an
21 amount not yet determined, for which Delphix is entitled to relief. Delphix seeks damages as
22 well as preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further infringement.

23 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

24 Delphix demands trial by jury on all issues so triable, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
25 Procedure 38.

26 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

27 WHEREFORE, plaintiff Delphix demands the following relief against defendant Actifio:
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- a) entry of a judgment declaring that Actifio has infringed one or more claims of the '808 patent;
- b) a preliminary and permanent injunction against the continuing infringement of the '808 patent;
- c) entry of a judgment declaring that Actifio has infringed one or more claims of the '077 patent;
- d) a preliminary and permanent injunction against the continuing infringement of the '077 patent;
- e) entry of a judgment declaring that Actifio has infringed one or more claims of the '944 patent;
- f) a preliminary and permanent injunction against the continuing infringement of the '944 patent;
- g) damages to compensate Delphix for Actifio's infringement, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, said damages to be trebled because of Actifio's willful infringement;
- h) an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs to Delphix;
- i) an award of reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- j) such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and fair.

Dated: October 4, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ J. David Hadden
J. DAVID HADDEN

Attorneys for Plaintiff
DELPHIX CORP.