

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

RALINK TECHNOLOGY CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LANTIQ, DEUTSCHLAND GMBH,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 10-CV-000688

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff Ralink Technology Corp. (“Ralink”) brings this action against defendant LANTIQ, DEUTSCHLAND GmbH (“Lantiq”) for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code §§ 1 *et seq.* In support of its claims, Ralink states and alleges as follows:

**Parties**

1. Plaintiff Ralink is a Taiwanese corporation with its principal place of business at 5F, No.5, Tai-Yuen 1st St., Jhubei City, HsinChu Hsien 30265, Taiwan, R.O.C.

2. Ralink is a global technology leader in the wireless home networking and broadband access semiconductor markets.

3. Defendant Lantiq is a German corporation with its Operating Headquarters at Am Campeon 3 85579 Neubiberg, Germany.

**Jurisdiction and Venue**

4. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.* This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

5. Upon information and belief, Lantiq has placed its products related to this action into the stream of commerce throughout the United States, including Wisconsin, and those products have been offered for sale and/or sold and used within this judicial district.

6. Lantiq has established a channel of distribution for its products and services that includes "Distribution Partners" located in select locations in the United States and elsewhere in the world.

7. Lantiq has chosen to locate one of its Distribution Partners, TEQ Sales Manufacturing Representatives ("TEQ Sales"), in Wisconsin.

8. Upon information and belief, Lantiq distributes and services products that infringe the patent in suit within Wisconsin, including through its Wisconsin Distribution Partner TEQ Sales.

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b) in that, among other things, Lantiq is an alien corporation and acts of infringement complained of herein have been committed within this judicial district.

#### **Factual Allegations**

10. On February 28, 1995, United States Letters Patent No. 5,394,116 ("the '116 patent") entitled "Fractional Phase Shift Ring Oscillator Arrangement" was duly and legally issued. Ralink is the owner by assignment of the '116 patent. A copy of the '116 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. Ralink is the sole owner of the '116 patent and has full rights to initiate litigation and otherwise to enforce the patent.

12. Lantiq has infringed and is still infringing the ‘116 patent by making, selling, and using semiconductor products that embody the patented invention, and Lantiq will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court from further violation of 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

13. Specifically, Lantiq manufactures, sells, and offers to sell semiconductor products, including but not limited to DSL (digital subscriber line) chipsets, DSL controllers, Ethernet chipsets, Ethernet switches, Ethernet controllers, and Ethernet physical layer components, router chipsets, and other chipsets and components used in routers, switches and modems.

**COUNT I**  
**(Direct Infringement of the ‘116 Patent)**

14. Ralink realleges the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

15. Lantiq has infringed the ‘116 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, importing, offering for sale, or selling without license or authority in this district and elsewhere in the United States, infringing semiconductor products, including but not limited to DSL (digital subscriber line) chipsets, DSL controllers, Ethernet chipsets, Ethernet switches, Ethernet controllers, and Ethernet physical layer components, router chipsets, and other chipsets and components used in routers, switches and modems.

16. On information and belief, Lantiq has knowledge of the ‘116 patent and has willfully, deliberately and intentionally infringed one or more claims of the patent.

17. Unless Lantiq and its agents, servants, subsidiaries, affiliates, employees, attorneys, representatives, and all others acting on their behalf are enjoined from infringing the ‘116 patent, those entities will continue to infringe the patent and Ralink will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

**COUNT II**  
**(Inducement to Infringe the '116 Patent)**

18. Ralink realleges the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

19. Upon information and belief, Lantiq has infringed the '116 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by inducing others to engage in direct infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) with knowledge and intent to induce the specific infringing acts and to cause the infringement.

20. Among the others that Lantiq has induced to engage in direct infringement of the '116 patent are manufacturers, sellers, and resellers of communications equipment that includes semiconductor products manufactured by Lantiq.

21. Upon information and belief, Lantiq has significantly, actively and intentionally aided, abetted, and participated in, and was and is a proximate, contributing and concurring cause of, the aforesaid infringement.

22. By its infringing conduct, Lantiq has made unlawful gains and profits, and Ralink, due to the same infringing conduct, has been deprived of rights and remunerations that would have otherwise come to Ralink but for the infringement. Lantiq has thus caused Ralink irreparable damage and will continue to cause Ralink additional damages.

**COUNT III**  
**(Contributory Infringement of the '116 Patent)**

23. Ralink realleges the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

24. Upon information and belief, Lantiq has contributed to infringement of the '116 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by selling within the United States semiconductor products knowing that those products (a) are especially made for use in infringing products, and (b) are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing uses.

25. As a result of the conduct alleged above, Lantiq has contributed to direct infringement of the '116 patent by, among others, manufacturers, sellers, and resellers of communications equipment that includes semiconductor products manufactured by Lantiq.

26. By its infringing conduct, Lantiq has made unlawful gains and profits, and Ralink, due to the same infringing conduct, has been deprived of rights and remunerations that would have otherwise come to Ralink but for the infringement. Lantiq has thus caused Ralink irreparable damage and will continue to cause Ralink additional damages.

### **Prayer for Relief**

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff Ralink respectfully requests the following relief:

- a) A judgment that Lantiq has infringed, induced others to infringe and/or contributed to the infringement of one or more claims of the '116 patent;
- b) A judgment that Lantiq's infringement of the '116 patent has been, and continues to be, willful and deliberate;
- c) Permanent injunctive relief prohibiting Lantiq and its subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, agents, servants, employees, licensees, and all other persons acting or attempting to act in active concert or participation with them or acting on their behalf, from infringement or inducement of infringement of the '116 patent;
- d) A judgment that Lantiq be ordered to account for and pay all damages caused by reason of its infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, including enhanced damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be determined by the Court;
- e) A judgment that Lantiq be ordered to pay Ralink's costs, expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284 and 285;

f) An award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused to Ralink by Lantiq's infringement; and

g) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

**Jury Demand**

Plaintiff Ralink respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Dated: November 8, 2008

PERKINS COIE LLP

/s/ Christopher G. Hanewicz

John S. Skilton

Christopher G. Hanewicz

David L. Anstaett

1 East Main Street, Suite 201

Madison, Wisconsin 53703-5118

P: 608-663-7460

[jskilton@perkinscoie.com](mailto:jskilton@perkinscoie.com)

[chanewicz@perkinscoie.com](mailto:chanewicz@perkinscoie.com)

[danstaett@perkinscoie.com](mailto:danstaett@perkinscoie.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff Ralink*

Of Counsel:

William H. Wright

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: 213-612-2478

Facsimile: 213-612-2499

Email: [wwright@orrick.com](mailto:wwright@orrick.com)

Steven J. Routh

Sten Jensen

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Columbia Center

1152 15th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: 202-339-8436

Facsimile: 202-339-8500

Email: [srouth@orrick.com](mailto:srouth@orrick.com)

[sjensen@orrick.com](mailto:sjensen@orrick.com)