COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NATURE OF LAWSUIT

This action involves claims for patent infringement arising under the 1.. patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

THE PARTIES

- 2. ArrivalStar S.A. is a corporation organized under the laws of Luxembourg with offices at 67 Rue Michel, Welter L-2730, Luxembourg
- Melvino Technologies Limited is a corporation organized under the laws of the British Virgin Island of Tortola, with offices at Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands
- ArrivalStar is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendant Meitek is a California corporation located at 9550 Flair Dr, Suite 216, El Monte, California 91731. Meitek transacts business and has, at a minimum, offered to provide and/or provided in this judicial district and throughout the State of California services that infringe claims of the '859, '606, '359, '645, and '058 Patents as alleged below.
- ArrivalStar is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendant JNetwork is a California limited liability company located at 2173 Salk Avenue, Suite 250, Carlsbad, California 92008. JNetwork transacts business and has, at a minimum, offered to provide and/or provided in this judicial district and throughout the State of California services that infringe claims of the '859, '606, '359, '645, and '058 Patents as alleged below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of the 6. Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
 - Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS

8.. ArrivalStar owns all right, title and interest in, and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 6,714,859 ("the '859 Patent"), entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"System and method for an advance notification system for monitoring and reporting proximity of a vehicle," issued March 30, 2004.

- ArrivalStar owns all right, title and interest in, and has standing to sue 9.. for infringement of United States Patent No. 6,804,606 ("the '606 Patent"), entitled "Notification systems and methods with user-definable notifications based upon vehicle proximities," issued October 12, 2004.
- ArrivalStar owns all right, title and interest in, and has standing to sue 10. for infringement of United States Patent No. 6,904,359 ("the '359 Patent"), entitled "Notification systems and methods with user-definable notifications based upon occurrance of events," issued June 7, 2005. The '359 Patent was the subject of an Inter Partes reexamination at the United States Patent and Trademark Office
- 11. ArrivalStar owns all right, title and interest in, and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 6,952,645 ("the '645 Patent"), entitled "System and method for activation of an advance notification system for monitoring and reporting status of vehicle travel," issued October 4, 2005.
- ArrivalStar owns all right, title and interest in, and has standing to sue for infringement of United States Patent No. 7,191,058 ("the '058 Patent"), entitled "Notification systems and methods enabling user entry of notification trigger information based upon monitored mobile vehicle location," issued March 13, 2007.
- The '859, '606, '359, '645, and '058 patents are referred to collectively 13. as the "ArrivalStar Patents."

DEFENDANT MEITEK'S ACTS OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 14. Meitek has infringed claims of the ArrivalStar Patents through the use and sale of Meitek's MS02 and MVT600 systems.
- On February 7, 2012, Meitek hosted a United States version of its 15. MS02 tracking system on a computer server located in Las Vegas, Nevada that was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accessible through a uniform resource locator address of http://www1.trackingmate.com.

Meitek's infringement has damaged and will continue to damage 16. ArrivalStar unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further use of methods and systems that come within the scope of the ArrivalStar Patents.

DEFENDANT INETWORK'S ACTS OF PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- JNetwork has infringed claims of the ArrivalStar Patents through the use and sale of JNetwork's Falcon Vision Web Portal and GoldenEagle Tracker MVT 600 systems.
- JNetwork resells Meitek's MS02 system as a Falcon Vision Web Portal 18. and Meitek's MVT600 as a GoldenEagle Tracker MVT 600.
- JNetwork's infringement has damaged and will continue to damage 19. ArrivalStar unless and until this Court enters an injunction prohibiting further infringement and, specifically, enjoining further use of methods and systems that come within the scope of the ArrivalStar Patents.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs ask this Court to enter judgment against the Defendants, and each of them, and each of their subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert or participation with them, and to grant the following relief:

- An award of damages adequate to compensate ArrivalStar for the infringement that has occurred, together with prejudgment interest from the date that Defendant's infringement of the ArrivalStar Patents began;
 - Increased damages as permitted under 35 U.S.C. § 284; В.
- A finding that this case is exceptional and an award to ArrivalStar of C. its attorneys' fees and costs as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;

$\mathbf{D}_{\cdot \cdot}$	A permanent injunction prohibiting further infringement,
inducement	of infringement, and contributory infringement of the ArrivalStar
Patents; and	

E. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem proper and just.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: February 9, 2012

GRACE+GRACE LLP

Pamela D. Deitchle

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ArrivalStar S.A. and Melvino Technologies Limited

JURY DEMAND

ArrivalStar demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED: February 9, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

GRACE+GRACE LLP

Pamela D. Deitchle

Attorneys for Plaintiffs ArrivalStar S.A. and Melvino Technologies Limited

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge George King and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Alicia G. Rosenberg

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 1225 GHK (AGRx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motio	ons should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
	NOTICE TO COUNSEL
copy of this notice must be served	ed with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

[X] Western Division [] Southern D

filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:12-cv-01225-GHK-AGR Document 1 Filed 02/10/12 Page 7 of 7 Page ID #:8

Michael K. Grace (SBN 126737) mgrace@gracelaw.com GRACE+GRACE LLP 444 South Flower Street, Suite 1650 Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 452-1220

CV-01A (10/11

	DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ARRIVALSTAR S.A. and MELVINO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINIIFF(S) V	CV 12 1225-GHK (AGK)
MEITEK, INC., a California corporation; and INETWORK, LLC, a California limited liability company, DEFENDANT(S)	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	
A lawsuit has been filed against you	and the state of t
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim of cross-claim of a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, G13 444 S. Flower St., Suite 1650, Los Angeles, CA 90071	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer
judgment by default will be entered against you for the reyour answer or motion with the court	If you fail to do so, elief demanded in the complaint You also must file
FEB 1 0 2012 Dated:	Clerk, U.S. District Court By: May Dan
	Deputy Clerk
	(Seal of the Court)
Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States (50 days by Rule 12(a)(3)]	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States Allowed

SUMMONS