

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

OMEGA PATENTS, LLC,)	
a Georgia limited liability company,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No.
)	
NUMEREX CORP.,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
a Pennsylvania corporation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr style="border: 0.5px solid black;"/>		
)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Omega Patents, LLC, through its undersigned counsel, for its complaint against Defendant NUMEREX CORP., states:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff Omega Patents, LLC (“Omega”) is a Georgia limited liability company.

2. Defendant Numerex Corp., (“Numerex”) is, upon information and belief, a Pennsylvania corporation with its principal place of business in this State. Upon information and belief, Numerex sells and offers for sale infringing products in the United States, including within this Judicial District.

3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action as to Numerex pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. This Court has *in personam* jurisdiction as to Numerex because, upon information and belief, Numerex is subject to both general and specific jurisdiction in this State. More particularly, upon information and belief, Numerex regularly conducts business activity in the State of Georgia and sells and offers to sell products that infringe one or more claims of Omega's patents in this Judicial District.

5. Venue properly lies within this judicial district and division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(c) and 1400(b).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

6. Omega is the sole and exclusive owner of the following valid and enforceable United States Patents (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"):

PATENT NO.	TITLE
6,606,561 B2 ("the '561 Patent")	Vehicle Tracker Including Input/Output Features and Related Methods
6,737,989 B2 ("the '989 Patent")	Vehicle Tracker Unit Providing Variable Frequency Transmission and Related Methods
7,149,623 B2 ("the '623 Patent")	Vehicle Tracker Including Input/Output Features and Related Methods

True and correct copies of the Patents-in-Suit are attached hereto as Exhibits A, B, and C.

7. Kenneth E. Flick, the inventor of the Patents-in-Suit, is recognized as an innovator in the vehicle security systems industry. Mr. Flick has invented many improvements for the vehicle security industry, including innovations covering vehicle tracking.

8. The Patents-in-Suit reflect some of Mr. Flick's inventions in the field. Mr. Flick has assigned all of his rights to the inventions claimed in the Patents-in-Suit to Omega.

9. Upon information and belief, Numerex has sold and offered for sale in the United States and in this Judicial District vehicle tracking products that directly or indirectly infringe upon one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

10. Omega has not granted Numerex a license to practice the Patents-in-Suit for the accused products.

COUNT I
Action for Infringement of the Patents-in-Suit

11. Count I is an action by Omega against Numerex for monetary damages and injunctive relief for Numerex's infringement of the Patents-in-Suit.

12. Omega herein restates and reincorporates into this Count the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 10 herein.

13. Upon information and belief, Numerex has made and/or offered for sale and sold and/or distributed vehicle tracking products that infringe directly, by inducement and/or contributorily, one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.

14. Omega is entitled to compensatory damages and injunctive relief for Numerex's infringing activities.

15. Upon information and belief, Numerex lacks justifiable belief that there is no infringement or that the infringed claims are invalid and has acted with objective recklessness in its infringing activity. Numerex's infringement is therefore willful, and Omega is entitled to an award of exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs in bringing this action.

Wherefore, Plaintiff Omega prays this Honorable Court enter such preliminary and final orders and judgments as are necessary to provide Omega with the following requested relief:

A. A preliminary and then permanent injunction enjoining Numerex from infringing each of the Patents-in-Suit;

B. An award of damages against Numerex under 35 U.S.C. §284 in an amount adequate to compensate Omega for Numerex's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made by Numerex of the inventions set forth in the Patents-in-Suit;

C. An award against Numerex for exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and

D. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL REQUEST

Omega requests a trial by jury as to all matters so triable.

Respectfully submitted, this 2nd day of September, 2010.

/s/ Dan R. Gresham

Dan R. Gresham (Georgia Bar No. 310280)

dan.gresham@tkhr.com

Cynthia J. Lee (Georgia Bar No. 442999)

cynthia.lee@tkhr.com

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER
& RISLEY, LLP**

600 Galleria Parkway, S.E., Suite 1500

Atlanta, GA 30339

Telephone: (770) 933-9500

Facsimile: (770) 951-0933

OF COUNSEL:

Brian R. Gilchrist (Florida Bar No. 774065)

bgilchrist@addmg.com

Ryan T. Santurri (Florida Bar No. 0015698)

rsanturri@addmg.com

**ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT,
MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A.**

255 South Orange Avenue, Suite 1401

Post Office Box 3791

Orlando, FL 32802-3791

Telephone: 407-841-2330

Facsimile: 407-841-2343

**Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Omega Patents, LLC**