E-filing

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note No
Date41\8\12
Time_\\:24aM_
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: ARE THE APT'S IN QUESTION STANDARD ORACLE APT'S 7

ARE THERE BEREAVER COPYRIGHTS ON THESE API'S IN QUESTION?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date
Time
·
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: Seems Sun was aware Apache had frequented. Java and was offering pokentially non-computable Apis, etc that Others way have down baled for Future use. West formal Communication did Sun have be revous because? have spacker Communication did Sun have those who aguired Apache to
Java and was affering pokentially non-computable 71021, toward
Others Way have July (ie revoke becence? have spacke
Communication did Dun the Harman Apache to
to offwhing.
Caution about use?) IF Not, why Not? I PSO, When?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 3
Date
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
The Jury or Juror has the following question: It was festified that Apache was "shelved" - when? why
_ It was festitud that Afriche. Was
- what are lovere terms of Aprolle license tracks
- Dio Google get Apache (cena? IF 55, when? whom? (2002?)
- were 37 April wanted by Agade lucase?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 4	
Date	
Time	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () or	
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:	
ow (by what means) do the law yers for e company obtain the private information or	

what is one of us jury people were to be wit by a truck - or something less dramatic, but equally capable of keeping us from which shere? unaybe what I'm really asking is this - why aren't there any other over this trial?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Note No

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

110(0 110.
Date
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
con you explain the difference between a package and an API? (For ex. Java.nio)

2) How does copyracite process work? What can / cannot be copywrited & is this predetermined when copyrate is granted? Does it expire?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date 4-25-12
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
z. The daily of dator has the following question.
· HOW MANY API'S IN QUESTION ARE ALSO AVAILABLE THROUGH APACHE OPEN SOURCE?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No.
Date 4/26/12
Time
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
Prof MSKChan
Drd he say the
Specification says what code
Specification says what code to write in the implementation?
It so why would then Be a such as proprietary
such as proprietary
of Ming
code?
Foreperson of the Jury

or Member of the Jury

_	Jury Sch	redule_
نيد	Tues. 8-1:00	May 1
	h)ed 8-4	7 2
	1m 8-4	3
	Fri 8-1	4
_		
~	Foreperson: Greg	Mismpson
_		
_		
· <u>-</u>		
_		
_		Aprīl 30, 2012
-		HIPPOR DO, WOLDE
_		
. · ·		

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No)
Date5	1/1/2012
Time (9:30 an

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

(2.) The Jury or Juror has the following question:

For QIA Infringement	as we able permitted/restricted
- OF 37-Apis in guestion.	are we able permitted restricted stricted non-license-regulared stricted and
availability of the	one we able permitted, 37 via non-license-regulard sites, or Apache, or other) and sites, or Apache, or other becide? To thin for the Judge to becide?
to determine of sun shall oured	= Ili for the Julge to becide!
Ocations (1e. Saval - use) 7 OR	72 AMI
free pour province	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 2	
Date <i>\(\sigm\)//2</i>	
Time 9:35	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

On page 16 of our instructions, the sentence beginning "Similarly if Google...." defines elements reserved for the judge to decide. Does this restriction on the jury prevent us, in regard deciding if infringement took place, from considering whether the 37 API's are accessible from other sources (not directly from Oracle/Java 25E)

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	lo. <u>3</u>
Date_	5/2/12
Time	8:15

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: On page 13 of our instructions, the first of the 4 fair use factors includes the terms "commercial nature" and "commercial use". In the given context, does commercial use/nature include so-called downstream revenue, it. expected advertising revenue from use by third parties (smart phone users)? Or, is the definition of commercial use limited in this case by virtue of Android being freely distributed in other words it is not sold directly? Can we consider to the attract and indirect revenue from the Copyrighted work?

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
WHEN CONSIDERING THE PURPOSE & CHARACTER OF THE USE OF COPYRIGHTED
WORKS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF FAIRUSE, CAN WE CONSIDER INDIRECT
COMMERCIAL USES?

JULIE CHIU
Foreperson of the Jury
or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No.	5	
Date	5/2/12	
Time	1005	

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Please brong equipment to play a dod. Tranks

Equipment will be brought to you by 11 AM

The Judge

10:25

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	o. <u>6</u>
Date_	5/3/12
Time_	1045

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

"average andrene" when referring to
"average andrene" whereof

is the average andrence

the Seneral public or

the andrence of programmers

using the code? Jennifer Mid

Foreperson of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>
Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC
NOTE FROM THE JURY
Note No. 6
Date 5/3/12
Note No. $\frac{6}{5/3/12}$ Date $\frac{5/3}{12}$ Time $\frac{1045}{1045}$
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
In A 28, when referry to
l'averge andrere" wheth
is the average andrence
the Seneral public or
the andrence of programmers
using the code? Jennifer Module
Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date5 / 2	
Time $2'$. ∞	

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

To determine the transformative value of the copyrighted work, can we consider the elements mon-copyrighted elements the elements that Google added to make the Android platform) in deciding the "purpose of character of the use" of the SSO of the 37 APIS?

Megan Galls Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP	alue 1
Case No. <u>C10-03561 WHA</u>	reg der and son war of the part of work of the part of
Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC	For me cost that the
NOTE FROM THE JURY	region to the me to the
Note No. $\frac{7}{2}$ Date $\frac{5/2}{2}$ Time $\frac{2'.00}{2}$	rager in a pur the me
Date $5/2$ $e^{\sqrt{a}}$	but he the use of course of
Time 2'.00	rateral work of set for of course of the set o
1. The lumb has reached a visit of the lumb has reached a visi	rater of work when set of the survey of the
1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () or	ory went remediate. Sugar
The Jury or Juror has the following question:	rater of work of the set for a rate of the same of the
To determine the transform	ative value of
10 determine and con	ine consider
the copyrighted work, can	A Xh
the elema non-copyrighte	d elements the
elements that Google ado	ded to make
the Android platform)	in deciding The
"purpose & character of	the use of
the SSO of the 37	HPIS
	Foreperson of the Jury
	or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	No. 8	
Date_	5/2	
Time	2:20	

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

what happens if we can't reach unarmous andecision of people are not budging?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

After extensive and thorough review of all evidence and input from fellow jurors, we have reached verdicts (unanimous) for all questions of the special verdict Form, except for one. As to this remaining question, the jury appears to be at impasse, unable to unanimously agree on the answer. Please advise of next step.

(We are done for today)

Jury Sch	redule
J	3 to 1 pm
Tuesday 5/8 8 if needed	to 4 pm
	Sieg Than
* Also, please provide Jury" forms	more Note trom

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date 7 May 2s tv	
Time	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()	
or	
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: ONE OF the Jurers has Indicated he Ishe has had conversations regard he Ishe has had conversations regard the Ishe has lad conversations over this trial (putents cumpy to) over the Weekerd.	'n

Foreperson of the Jury of Member of the Jury

Ronald Putherful.

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date <u>5/7/12</u>	-
Time //: 05	

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Impasse has been reached on the one issue that can not be decided.

Eneg Munpu-Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

Please provide	2 More
notehooks for	
	Sieg Thory
	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

or

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date	
Time	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () .

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Jury So	chedule
today -	out at 1:00
Wed 5/16	8:00 to 1:00
Thu 5/17	8:00 to 4:00
Fri 5/18	8:00 to 1:00

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Our Exhibit list seems to be for Phase I only Please provide the Phase 2 list

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 2	
Date 5/16	
Time Noon	
4. The horse resolved a second	

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Final charge to Jury

In paragraph 14 of our lastractions, we are to first decide the meaning of the patent claims. We are examining the question of what is meant by "instructions containing one or more symbolic references...". Is the interpretation of "containing" open to inclusion of a process, ie the symbolic reference resolution? Alternately, is "containing" more strictly defined to refer to the literal contents, e.g. 52 and 01?

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 3		
Date 5/17		
Time /2:25		
-		

The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

We are attempting to determine the scope of meaning of the term "simulating execution of the byte codes...." in Patent 520.

Is the existence of an example of the Android code not functioning when formatted in a normal simulated execution setting, ("Punting") permitted to be taken as evidence

that Android's array initialization diverges from the patented array initialization?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 4

Date 5//7

Time 1:40

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

We request that the transcript of a portroy of Dr. Mitchell's testimony be read. The testimony concerns the topic of Pattern Matching vs Simulated Execution and occured late on May 9th and again early on May 10 th

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. 45Date 5|17Time 205pm

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Can I get a sick day without being discharged? Sorry.

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

We request to be read a portron of Dr. Parr's testimony.

from direct examination we'd like to hear the part about defining and execution simulation and execution

Additionally from cross-exam as to when + how simulated execution is used and it's relation to parsing.

I'd like to know why the vote by the jery must be run animous.

Why wouldn't a majority-one way or the other-be sufficient \$ to sometime a contract on?

Elisabeth Hostynek

Jury Hours	
Monday 5/21	8-1
J	
	Sieg Thay
	V

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date 5/21	
Time_9:(0_	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict () .
or	•

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Can we pleas	e have questron
# 2 grados	and the
answer to	re-read!
(nearly dine	what the instructions
can refer	to in the 104
Patent)	Meen
	Foreperson of the Jury

or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No. _____

Date 5/21/12_

Time 10:10 AM

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

in the instructions

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Can'a defermined numeric reference become a symbolic reference because of what happens downstream?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No
Date5/2/
Time/o:/5
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()
or
2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:
Regarding the symbolic reference issue in the 104 claims,
we have this question.
Does resolution of symbolic references need to happen immediatel
ie. at the field ID table position in the demonstration?
ie. at the field ID table position in the demonstration? be considered contain Or can the instruction be a symbolic reference by virtue
of linking of numeric references that occur first followed by
resolution, such as the set-up in the demo with string ID Table
String data + class columns? Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

or

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No		
Date 5/2/112	,	
Time 10:32 AM		
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()	

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

For the purposes of determining claim language of the

520 patent, is "stack" synonimous with "memory"? If so,

Is the definition of memory" confined to stack?

Julie Chiu Foreperson of the Jury or Member of the Jury

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Note No

or

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

11010 110.	
Date 5/21	
Time_12:45	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

If all other claim limitations are met, is it true that the DVM would be infringing the 104 patent via any resolution of a symbolic reference anywhere in the data fields (as depicted in the demonstrative)

Jury Schedu	le - today leaving
Tuesday 5/22 Wednesday 5/23	8-1
	Sieg Mory

-

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. **C10-03561 WHA**

Note No. _____

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Date5	5/22	
Time	3:57AM	
1. The Ju	ury has reached a unanimous verdict ()	. •
or		
2. The Ju For H resol	he purpose of patent 104, do cover the claims a cover the purpose of patent 104, do cover the co	symbolic
	anywhere	

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note N	lo
Date_	5/22
Time_	10:35

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

In the symbolic reference definition, if we find a reference that identifies data by a numeric memory location of the data, does the existence of an initial numeric reference predude the existence of a symbolic reference?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

or

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

Note No	
Date5/73	
Time 9:30	
The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict ()

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question:

Understanding that the 520 issue concerns patent protection for an array initialization feature, we have a question on the legal interpretation of the words "simulating execution of the code" as found in the claim language.

Does that wording refer to looking at the actual static initialization of the array, for purposes of determining the existence or non-existence of simulated execution?

JUDGE WILLIAM H. ALSUP

Case No. C10-03561 WHA

Case Name: ORACLE AMERICA, INC v. GOOGLE INC

NOTE FROM THE JURY

1. The Jury has reached a unanimous verdict (X)

or

2. The Jury or Juror has the following question: