1	DANIEL JOHNSON, JR. (SBN 57409)				
2	MICHAEL J. LYONS (SBN 202284) AHREN C. HSU-HOFFMAN (SBN 250469)				
3	COREY R. HOUMAND (SBN 268366) MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP				
4	2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700				
5	Palo Alto, California 94306-2122 Telephone: 650.843.4000				
6	Facsimile: 650.843.4001 E-mail: djjohnson@morganlewis.com				
7	E-mail: mlyons@morganlewis.com E-mail: ahsu-hoffman@morganlewis.com				
8	E-mail: choumand@morganlewis.com				
9	ROBERT J. GAYBRICK (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) NATHAN W. MCCUTCHEON (to be admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )				
10	DAE GUNN JEI (to be admitted <i>pro hac vic</i> MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	e)			
11	1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004				
12	Telephone: 202.739.3000 Facsimile: 202.739.3001				
13	E-mail: rgaybrick@morganlewis.com E-mail: nmccutcheon@morganlewis.com				
14	E-mail: djei@morganlewis.com				
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff FUJIFILM CORPORATION				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
17	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18					
19	FUJIFILM CORPORATION, a Japanese Corporation,	Case No.			
20	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
21	V.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
22	MOTOROLA MOBILITY HOLDINGS,				
23	4 Delaware Corporation, and MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, a Delaware Limited 5 Liability Company,				
24					
25					
26	6 Defendants.				
27					
28 Morgan, Lewis &					
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto		PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT			
	DB1/ 70136790				

1	Plaintiff Fujifilm Corporation ("Fujifilm") for its complaint against Defendants Motorola		
2	Mobility Holdings, Inc., Motorola Mobility, Inc., and Motorola Mobility LLC (collectively,		
3	"Motorola") alleges as follows:		
4	PARTIES		
5	1. Plaintiff Fujifilm is organized under the laws of Japan with its principal place of		
6	business at Midtown West, 7-3, Akasaka 9-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052, Japan.		
7	2. Fujifilm is a worldwide leader in photography. Fujifilm's original business was in		
8	the area of photographic film. As technology progressed, Fujifilm was one of the earliest		
9	companies to expand its business into digital photography and image processing. In 1988,		
10	Fujifilm was the first company to develop, and then to market, a consumer digital camera. In the		
11	ensuing years, Fujifilm has become a recognized leader and innovator in the areas of digital		
12	cameras, digital photography, and digital image processing. Fujifilm holds more than 1,000		
13	United States patents related to digital imaging. Starting from that first digital camera in 1988,		
14	Fujifilm has developed and now sells an extensive line of digital cameras. The value of		
15	5 Fujifilm's intellectual property has been recognized in the market through, among other things		
16	the success of Fujifilm's products and Fujifilm's licensing of its technology to others in the field		
17	of digital imaging.		
18	3. On information and belief, Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc., Motorola Mobility,		
19	Inc., and Motorola Mobility LLC are organized under the laws of Delaware, with a principal		
20	place of business at 600 N. U.S. Highway 45, Libertyville, IL 60048-1296. Motorola has sold		
21	and sells mobile phones with digital cameras incorporated therein.		
22	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
23	4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 <i>et</i>		
24	seq., for infringement by Motorola of United States patents owned by Fujifilm. This Court has		
25	jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.		
26	6 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Motorola because Motorola does		
27	business in the State of California. Motorola maintains a place of business in the Northern		
28 Morgan, Lewis &	District of California at 809 Eleventh Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94089-4731, and engages in acts of		
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto	1 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT DB1/ 70136790 1 FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

1	infringement within this District.		
2	6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(d) and		
3	1400(b).		
4	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT		
5	7. This action for patent infringement is assigned on a district-wide basis under Civil		
6	L.R. 3-2(c).		
7	<u>COUNT I</u>		
8	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,144,763)		
9	8. Fujifilm realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in		
10	Paragraphs 1 through 7.		
11	9. Fujifilm is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,144,763 ("the '763 patent"), entitled		
12	"Method and Apparatus for Compression Coding of Image Data Representative of a Color Image		
13	and Digital Camera Including the Same." The '763 patent was duly and legally issued by the		
14	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 7, 2000. A true and correct copy of the '763		
15	patent is attached as Exhibit 1. The patent is generally directed to converting captured color		
16	images to monochrome images.		
17	10. On information and belief, Motorola directly infringes the '763 patent under 35		
18	U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States, or		
19	importing into the United States, products covered by at least claims 1-2 of the '763 patent. The		
20	accused products include at least Motorola's Droid X, Xyboard 10.1, Xyboard 8.2, Droid 4, Razr		
21	Maxx, Razr, Admiral, Droid Bionic, Atrix 2, Electrify, Droid 3, Photon 4G, Triumph, XPRT,		
22	Theory, Droid X2, Xoom, Atrix 4G, Droid 2-Global, Droid Pro, CLIQ, CLIQ XT, and DEFY		
23	mobile phones/tablets. On information and belief, these mobile phones/tablets have a		
24	monochrome feature wherein captured color images are converted into monochrome images as		
25	recited in the aforementioned patent claims.		
26	11. On information and belief, Motorola has actively induced others to infringe the		
27	'763 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), including at least by promoting, advertising, and		
28	instructing others on the features and uses of at least the aforementioned mobile phones/tablets,		
IS & P w	2 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto

1	1 with knowledge of the '763 patent and knowledge that the encouraged activities infringed the		
2	patent. For example, the Droid X User's Guide [Verizon Wireless] on page 23 describes "Photo		
3	Options," which allows the user to "adjust the camera to optimize your shot," by providing		
4	"effects" including "black and white" operation or "normal," <i>i.e.</i> , color, operation.		
5	12. Fujifilm gave Motorola written notice of its infringement at least as early as April		
6	2011. Fujifilm representatives also engaged in face-to-face meetings with Motorola		
7	representatives where the infringing activities were explained in detail to Motorola. Nonetheless,		
8	Motorola has continued to infringe. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement has been		
9	with knowledge of the '763 patent and without any valid defense and is, has been, and continues		
10	to be willful and deliberate.		
11	13. Motorola's infringement has injured and damaged Fujifilm. Fujifilm is entitled to		
12	recover damages adequate to compensate Fujifilm for Motorola's infringing activities in an		
13	amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with		
14	interest and costs.		
15	14. Unless and until enjoined by this Court, Motorola's acts of infringement will		
16	continue to damage Fujifilm irreparably.		
17	<u>COUNT II</u>		
18	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,915,119)		
19	15. Fujifilm realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in		
20	Paragraphs 1 through 7.		
21	16. Fujifilm is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 6,915,119 ("the '119 patent"), entitled		
22	"Telephone and Data Transmitting Method for Telephone." The '119 patent was duly and legally		
23	issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on July 5, 2005. A true and correct copy of the		
24	'119 patent is attached as Exhibit 2. The patent is generally directed to a telephone that can		
25	communicate with other devices ( <i>e.g.</i> , a computer) over a path other than the telephone network.		
26	17. On information and belief, Motorola directly infringes the '119 patent under 35		
27	U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States, or		
28 Morgan, Lewis &	importing into the United States, products covered by at least claims 13 and 36 of the '119 patent.		
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto	3 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		

The accused products include at least Motorola's i867, Xyboard 10.1, Xyboard 8.2, Droid 4,
 Razr Maxx, Razr, Admiral, Droid Bionic, Atrix 2, Electrify, Droid 3, Photon 4G, Triumph,
 XPRT, Titanium, Droid X2, Clutch, i412, Milestone X, Brute i686, Xoom, Atrix 4G, Droid 2 Global, Droid Pro, Droid X, CLIQ, CLIQ XT, and DEFY mobile phones/tablets. On information
 and belief, these mobile phones/tablets have Bluetooth and/or Wi-Fi capabilities that allow them
 to communicate with other devices, such as a computer, in the patented manner.

18. On information and belief, Motorola has actively induced others to infringe the
'119 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), including at least by promoting, advertising, and
instructing others on the features and uses of at least the aforementioned mobile phones/tablets,
with knowledge of the '119 patent and knowledge that the encouraged activities infringed the
patent. For example, the Droid X User's Guide [Verizon Wireless] on page 41 describes "[y]ou
can set up your phone as a Wi-Fi hotspot to provide portable, convenient internet access to other
Wi-Fi enabled devices."

14 19. Fujifilm gave Motorola written notice of its infringement at least as early as April
15 2011. Fujifilm representatives also engaged in face-to-face meetings with Motorola
16 representatives where the infringing activities were explained in detail to Motorola. Nonetheless,
17 Motorola has continued to infringe. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement has been
18 with knowledge of the '119 patent and without any valid defense and is, has been, and continues
19 to be willful and deliberate.

20 20. Motorola's infringement has injured and damaged Fujifilm. Fujifilm is entitled to
21 recover damages adequate to compensate Fujifilm for Motorola's infringing activities in an
22 amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with
23 interest and costs.

24 21. Unless and until enjoined by this Court, Motorola's acts of infringement will
25 continue to damage Fujifilm irreparably.

## 26 27

28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto 22.

Fujifilm realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in

**COUNT III** 

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,327,886)

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Paragraphs 1 through 7.

2 23. Fujifilm is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,327,886 ("the '886 patent"), entitled
3 "Photographing Apparatus, Method and Program." The '886 patent was duly and legally issued
4 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on February 5, 2008. A true and correct copy of the
5 '886 patent is attached as Exhibit 3. The patent generally concerns face detection in digital
6 photography.

On information and belief, Motorola directly infringes the '886 patent under 35
U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States, or
importing into the United States, products covered by at least claim 11 of the '886 patent. The
accused products include at least Motorola's Droid 2 Global, Droid Bionic, Atrix 2, Electrify,
Droid 3, Photon 4G, XPRT, Droid X2, Droid Pro, and Droid X mobile phones. On information
and belief, these mobile phones incorporate cameras with the claimed face detection features.

13 25. On information and belief, Motorola has actively induced others to infringe the
14 '886 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), including at least by promoting, advertising, and
15 instructing others on the features and uses of at least the aforementioned mobile phones, with
16 knowledge of the '886 patent and knowledge that the encouraged activities infringed the patent.
17 For example, the Droid X User's Guide [Verizon Wireless] on page 25 describes various camera
18 "settings," including "Face Detection."

19 26. Fujifilm gave Motorola written notice of its infringement at least as early as April
2011. Fujifilm representatives also engaged in face-to-face meetings with Motorola
21 representatives where the infringing activities were explained in detail to Motorola. Nonetheless,
22 Motorola has continued to infringe. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement has been
23 with knowledge of the '886 patent and without any valid defense and is, has been, and continues
24 to be willful and deliberate.

25 27. Motorola's infringement has injured and damaged Fujifilm. Fujifilm is entitled to
26 recover damages adequate to compensate Fujifilm for Motorola's infringing activities in an
27 amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with
28 interest and costs.

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto

1	28. Unless and until enjoined by this Court, Motorola's acts of infringement will
2	continue to damage Fujifilm irreparably.
3	<u>COUNT IV</u>
4	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,734,427)
5	29. Fujifilm realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations stated in
6	Paragraphs 1 through 7.
7	30. Fujifilm is the owner of U.S. Patent No. 5,734,427 ("the '427 patent"), entitled
8	"High Resolution Electronic Still Camera with an Electronic Viewfinder for Displaying a
9	Reduced Image." The '427 patent was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark
10	Office on March 31, 1998. A true and correct copy of the '427 patent is attached as Exhibit 4.
11	The patent generally concerns image processing that allows a high-resolution image captured by
12	an image sensor to be displayed on a lower resolution viewfinder.
13	31. On information and belief, Motorola directly infringes the '427 patent under 35
14	U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling in the United States, or
15	importing into the United States, products covered by at least claims 1 and 6 of the '427 patent.
16	The accused products include at least Motorola's DEFY, CLIQ 2, Droid X2, Droid X, and Droid
17	2 Global mobile phones. On information and belief, these mobile phones have a viewfinder that
18	displays an image captured by a high-resolution image sensor as claimed in the patent.
19	32. On information and belief, Motorola has actively induced others to infringe the
20	'427 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), including at least by promoting, advertising, and
21	instructing others on the features and uses of at least the aforementioned mobile phones, with
22	knowledge of the '427 patent and knowledge that the encouraged activities infringed the patent.
23	For example, the Droid X User's Guide [Verizon Wireless] on page 23 describes that "Picture
24	<b>Resolution</b> is <b>Widescreen</b> or 6MP, unless you change it." 6 MP refers to 6 megapixels. As
25	resolution of the viewfinder display is less than 0.5 MP, the captured image must be reduced for
26	display on the viewfinder.
27	33. Fujifilm gave Motorola written notice of its infringement at least as early as April
28	2011. Fujifilm representatives also engaged in face-to-face meetings with Motorola

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto

1	representatives where the infringing activities were explained in detail to Motorola. Nonetheless		
2	Motorola has continued to infringe. On information and belief, Motorola's infringement has been		
3	with knowledge of the '427 patent and without any valid defense and is, has been, and continues		
4	to be willful and deliberate.		
5	34. Motorola's infringement has injured and damaged Fujifilm. Fujifilm is entitled to		
6	recover damages adequate to compensate Fujifilm for Motorola's infringing activities in an		
7	amount to be determined at trial, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with		
8	interest and costs.		
9	35. Unless and until enjoined by this Court, Motorola's acts of infringement will		
10	continue to damage Fujifilm irreparably.		
11	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
12	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Fujifilm requests that this Court enter judgment:		
13	a. finding that Motorola has infringed and is infringing the '763, '119, '886,		
14	and '427 patents;		
15	b. preliminarily and permanently enjoining Motorola and its officers,		
16	directors, agents, servants, employees, parents, subsidiaries, principals, and all other persons in		
17	active concert or participation with them from further infringement of the '763, '119, '886, and		
18	'427 patents;		
19	c. requiring Motorola to pay damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an		
20	amount to be determined at trial;		
21	d. awarding increased damages, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, by reason of		
22	Motorola's willful infringement of the '763, '119, '886, and '427 patents;		
23	e. ordering Motorola to pay damages for any post-trial, pre-judgment		
24	infringement in an amount determined by the Court;		
25	f. ordering Motorola to pay pre-judgment interest, costs, and expenses to		
26	Fujifilm;		
27	g. ordering Motorola to pay post-judgment interest until paid at the maximum		
28 Morgan, Lewis &	lawful rate;		
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto	7 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		

1	h. declaring this case exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding		
2	Fujifilm its reasonable attorneys fees, expenses and costs incurred; and		
3	i. granting Fujifilm such other and further relief as this Court may deem just		
4	and equitable, or that Fujifilm may be entitled to as a matter of law or equity.		
5			
6			
7	Dated: July 10, 2012	Respectfully submitted,	
8		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
9			
10		By <u>/s/ Daniel Johnson, Jr.</u>	
11		DANIEL JOHNSON, JR. Attorneys for Plaintiff FUJIFILM CORPORATION	
12		T OJII ILM CORI ORATION	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Morgan, Lewis &			
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto		8 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	

1	JURY DEMAND		
2	Plaintiff Fujifilm Corporation demands a trial by jury.		
3			
4	Dated: July 10, 2012	Respectfully	submitted,
5			EWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
6			
7		By <u>/s/ Daniel</u>	Johnson, Jr.
8		DANIEL Attorneys	Johnson, Jr. JOHNSON, JR. for Plaintiff 4 CORPORATION
9		FUJIFIĽN	I CORPORATION
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys at Law Palo Alto	DD1/7012/700	9	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT